## UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH & SAFETY

Policy No.: OS002	Approved by: Michael Liberty
Title: Control of Hazardous Energy (Lockout/Tag out)	Date: 10/24/19
Revision No.: 4	Page 21 of 21
Prepared by: Chris Widmer	

## **Appendix D:** Lockout/Tagout Evaluation Checklist

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION			
Date: Supervisor(s):			
Authorized Employee(s):			
Affected or Other Employee(s):			
Specify equipment & location where the LOTO procedure is being used:			
Is the inspector an "authorized employee"? Yes	No		
(Employees may not inspect their own procedures)			
SECTION II: LOCKOUT/TAGOUT PROCEDURE			
(1) Were all "affected" and "other" employees verbally notified of the lockout?	Yes	No	
(2) Were operational controls turned to the "Off" position prior to lockout?		No	
(3) Were all energy sources turned to the "Off" or "Safe" position?		No	
(4) Were lockout devices and locks properly attached to each energy isolation device?		No	
(5) Were warning tags indicating the authorized employee's name and the date attached to each energy isolation device?		No	
<ul><li>(6) Was all stored energy properly controlled?</li><li>(Pneumatic &amp; hydraulic energy bled, suspended parts lowered, etc)</li></ul>		No	
(7) Was an attempt made to restart the equipment or otherwise ensure the effectiveness of the lockout prior to beginning the service work?		No	
(8) If a group lockout was required, did all authorized employees attach their own locks and tags to each energy isolation device?		No	
(10) Were all locks and devices properly removed after servicing?		No	
Were all locks and devices properly removed after servicing?YesWere all "affected" and "other" employees verbally notified whenYes		No	
the lockout was complete?			
SECTION III: INSPECTION RESULTS AND SIGNATURES			
Please fully explain all "No" responses and note any other deficiencies the	hat are not		
specifically covered by a checklist item:			
Authorized Employee Name:			
Signature: Date:			
Supervisor Name:			
Signature: Date:			